

α -null sets: strong and weak

Mikhail Andreev, Akim Kumok
Moscow State University

Classical measure theory

- ▶ We will talk about Cantor space $\Omega = \{0, 1\}^\infty$ and uniform measure on it. Interval $x\Omega$ in this space is set of all sequences with fixed prefix x . Its size is equal to $2^{-|x|}$ (uniform measure on Ω).

Classical measure theory

- ▶ We will talk about Cantor space $\Omega = \{0, 1\}^\infty$ and uniform measure on it. Interval $x\Omega$ in this space is set of all sequences with fixed prefix x . Its size is equal to $2^{-|x|}$ (uniform measure on Ω).
- ▶ Definition (null sets)
 $X \subset \Omega$ has measure zero (is a *null set*) if $\forall \varepsilon \exists \mathcal{I} = \{I_1, \dots, I_n, \dots\}$:

Classical measure theory

- ▶ We will talk about Cantor space $\Omega = \{0, 1\}^\infty$ and uniform measure on it. Interval $x\Omega$ in this space is set of all sequences with fixed prefix x . Its size is equal to $2^{-|x|}$ (uniform measure on Ω).
- ▶ Definition (null sets)
 $X \subset \Omega$ has measure zero (is a *null set*) if $\forall \varepsilon \exists \mathcal{I} = \{I_1, \dots, I_n, \dots\}$:
 - ▶ $X \subseteq \cup I_k$;
 - ▶ Size of \mathcal{I} is less than ε .

Hausdorff dimension

Hausdorff dimension

To define Hausdorff dimension we need to define α -null sets.
Fix some $\alpha > 0$.

Hausdorff dimension

To define Hausdorff dimension we need to define α -null sets.
Fix some $\alpha > 0$.

Definition (α -size)

$$\mu^\alpha(x\Omega) = 2^{-\alpha|x|} = (\mu(x\Omega))^\alpha$$

Hausdorff dimension

To define Hausdorff dimension we need to define α -null sets.
Fix some $\alpha > 0$.

Definition (α -size)

$$\mu^\alpha(x\Omega) = 2^{-\alpha|x|} = (\mu(x\Omega))^\alpha$$

Definition (α -null sets)

$X \subset \Omega$ is an *alpha*-null set if $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \mathcal{I} = \{I_1, \dots, I_n, \dots\}$:

Hausdorff dimension

To define Hausdorff dimension we need to define α -null sets.
Fix some $\alpha > 0$.

Definition (α -size)

$$\mu^\alpha(x\Omega) = 2^{-\alpha|x|} = (\mu(x\Omega))^\alpha$$

Definition (α -null sets)

$X \subset \Omega$ is an *alpha*-null set if $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \mathcal{I} = \{I_1, \dots, I_n, \dots\}$:

- ▶ $X \subseteq \cup I_k$;
- ▶ α -size of \mathcal{I} is less than ε .

Hausdorff dimension

To define Hausdorff dimension we need to define α -null sets.
Fix some $\alpha > 0$.

Definition (α -size)

$$\mu^\alpha(X) = 2^{-\alpha|X|} = (\mu(X))^\alpha$$

Definition (α -null sets)

$X \subset \Omega$ is an α -null set if $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \mathcal{I} = \{I_1, \dots, I_n, \dots\}$:

- ▶ $X \subseteq \cup I_k$;
- ▶ α -size of \mathcal{I} is less than ε .

Definition (Hausdorff dimension)

Hausdorff dimension of set X : the infimum of α such that X is α -null.

Effective version

Definition (effectively null sets)

$X \subset \Omega$ is effectively null if \exists algorithm M : given a rational $\varepsilon > 0$, it enumerates set of intervals $\mathcal{I} = \{I_1, \dots, I_n, \dots\}$:

Effective version

Definition (effectively null sets)

$X \subset \Omega$ is effectively null if \exists algorithm M : given a rational $\varepsilon > 0$, it enumerates set of intervals $\mathcal{I} = \{I_1, \dots, I_n, \dots\}$:

- ▶ $X \subseteq \cup I_k$;
- ▶ Size of \mathcal{I} is less than ε .

Effective version

Definition (effectively null sets)

$X \subset \Omega$ is effectively null if \exists algorithm M : given a rational $\varepsilon > 0$, it enumerates set of intervals $\mathcal{I} = \{I_1, \dots, I_n, \dots\}$:

- ▶ $X \subseteq \cup I_k$;
- ▶ Size of \mathcal{I} is less than ε .

Theorem (Martin-Löf)

Effective version

Definition (effectively null sets)

$X \subset \Omega$ is effectively null if \exists algorithm M : given a rational $\varepsilon > 0$, it enumerates set of intervals $\mathcal{I} = \{I_1, \dots, I_n, \dots\}$:

- ▶ $X \subseteq \cup I_k$;
- ▶ Size of \mathcal{I} is less than ε .

Theorem (Martin-Löf)

There exists a maximum effectively null set.

Effective Hausdorff dimension

Effective Hausdorff dimension

null sets	effectively null sets
α -null sets	

Effective Hausdorff dimension

null sets	effectively null sets
α -null sets	effectively α null sets

Effective Hausdorff dimension

null sets	effectively null sets
α -null sets	effectively α null sets

Fix $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Effective Hausdorff dimension

null sets	effectively null sets
α -null sets	effectively α null sets

Fix $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$.

'Definition' (effectively null sets)

$X \subset \Omega$ is effectively null if \exists algorithm M : given a rational $\varepsilon > 0$, it enumerates set of intervals $\mathcal{I} = \{I_1, \dots, I_n, \dots\}$:

Effective Hausdorff dimension

null sets	effectively null sets
α -null sets	effectively α null sets

Fix $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$.

'Definition' (effectively null sets)

$X \subset \Omega$ is effectively null if \exists algorithm M : given a rational $\varepsilon > 0$, it enumerates set of intervals $\mathcal{I} = \{I_1, \dots, I_n, \dots\}$:

- ▶ $X \subseteq \cup I_k$;
- ▶ « α -size» of \mathcal{I} is less than ε .

Strong and weak α -size definitions

How to measure the size of a set of intervals?

Strong and weak α -size definitions

How to measure the size of a set of intervals?

Definition (Strong α -size)

Sum of sizes of all intervals in \mathcal{I} :

$$\mu_S^\alpha(\mathcal{I}) = \sum_k \mu^\alpha(I_k).$$

Strong and weak α -size definitions

How to measure the size of a set of intervals?

Definition (Strong α -size)

Sum of sizes of all intervals in \mathcal{I} :

$$\mu_S^\alpha(\mathcal{I}) = \sum_k \mu^\alpha(I_k).$$

Definition (Weak α -size)

Sum of sizes of maximal intervals in \mathcal{I} :

$$\mu_W^\alpha(\mathcal{I}) = \sum_{k: \nexists I' \in \mathcal{I} \supsetneq I_k} \mu^\alpha(I_k).$$

Strong vs weak I

Fact (Non-effective case)

In non-effective case both of these definitions lead to the same class of α -null sets.

Strong vs weak I

Fact (Non-effective case)

In non-effective case both of these definitions lead to the same class of α -null sets.

Fact ($\alpha=1$)

If $\alpha = 1$ then again these definitions are equivalent.

Strong vs weak II

Theorem (J. Reimann, F. Stephan)

If $\alpha < 1$ then $\exists X \subset \Omega$: X is weak α -null but not strong α -null.

Strong vs weak II

Theorem (J. Reimann, F. Stephan)

If $\alpha < 1$ then $\exists X \subset \Omega$: X is weak α -null but not strong α -null.

Remark: In their work weak α -size is defined in another way (but equivalent to the definition above).

Strong vs weak II

Theorem (J. Reimann, F. Stephan)

If $\alpha < 1$ then $\exists X \subset \Omega$: X is weak α -null but not strong α -null.

Remark: In their work weak α -size is defined in another way (but equivalent to the definition above).

- ▶ Our first result is introducing equivalent definition of weak α -null sets which allowed to simplify proof.

Strong vs weak II

Theorem (J. Reimann, F. Stephan)

If $\alpha < 1$ then $\exists X \subset \Omega$: X is weak α -null but not strong α -null.

Remark: In their work weak α -size is defined in another way (but equivalent to the definition above).

- ▶ Our first result is introducing equivalent definition of weak α -null sets which allowed to simplify proof.
- ▶ Second result is amplifying difference between weak and strong α -sizes:

Strong vs weak II

Theorem (J. Reimann, F. Stephan)

If $\alpha < 1$ then $\exists X \subset \Omega$: X is weak α -null but not strong α -null.

Remark: In their work weak α -size is defined in another way (but equivalent to the definition above).

- ▶ Our first result is introducing equivalent definition of weak α -null sets which allowed to simplify proof.
- ▶ Second result is amplifying difference between weak and strong α -sizes:

Theorem

$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Q}, 0 < \alpha < 1 \exists X \subset \Omega : X$ is weak α -null but its strong α -measure is equal to 1.

Solovay α -null set: an intermediate class

Solovay α -null set: an intermediate class

Definition (Solovay randomness criterion)

X is Solovay effectively null set iff there exists computable enumerable set of intervals with finite sum of sizes covering every point of X infinitely many times.

Solovay α -null set: an intermediate class

Definition (Solovay randomness criterion)

X is Solovay effectively null set iff there exists computable enumerable set of intervals with finite sum of sizes covering every point of X infinitely many times.

Definition (Solovay α -null set)

X is Solovay (effectively) α -null set if there exists computable enumerable set of intervals with finite sum of α -sizes covering every point in X infinitely many times.

Solovay α -null set: an intermediate class

Definition (Solovay randomness criterion)

X is Solovay effectively null set iff there exists computable enumerable set of intervals with finite sum of sizes covering every point of X infinitely many times.

Definition (Solovay α -null set)

X is Solovay (effectively) α -null set if there exists computable enumerable set of intervals with finite sum of α -sizes covering every point in X infinitely many times.

Theorem (J. Reimann, F. Stephan)

Strong α -null sets \subsetneq Solovay α -null sets \subsetneq weak α -null sets.

Our other results

Our other results

- ▶ Simplified proof of theorems using flow techniques and more equivalent definition of weak α -null set.

Our other results

- ▶ Simplified proof of theorems using flow techniques and more equivalent definition of weak α -null set.
- ▶ We show that there exists no maximum Solovay α -null set.

Flow techniques

Flow techniques

- ▶ Flow in directed graph: what maximum amount can be transported from source to (possibly multiple) destinations?

Flow techniques

- ▶ Flow in directed graph: what maximum amount can be transported from source to (possibly multiple) destinations?
- ▶ Cut in directed graph: upper bound on flow;

Flow techniques

- ▶ Flow in directed graph: what maximum amount can be transported from source to (possibly multiple) destinations?
- ▶ Cut in directed graph: upper bound on flow;
- ▶ Theorem (Ford-Fulkerson)
Value of maximum flow is equal to the capacity of minimal cut.

Flow techniques

- ▶ Flow in directed graph: what maximum amount can be transported from source to (possibly multiple) destinations?
- ▶ Cut in directed graph: upper bound on flow;
- ▶ Theorem (Ford-Fulkerson)
Value of maximum flow is equal to the capacity of minimal cut.
- ▶ Observation
Tree representation of Ω : cuts correspond to weak cover of X (in some sense).

Amplification of difference between weak and strong α -measures

Theorem

If $\alpha < 1$ then $\exists X \subset \Omega : \mu_W^\alpha(X) = 0, \mu_S^\alpha(X) = 1$.

Amplification of difference between weak and strong α -measures

Theorem

If $\alpha < 1$ then $\exists X \subset \Omega : \mu_W^\alpha(X) = 0, \mu_S^\alpha(X) = 1$.

Game description: There are two players: Alice and Bob. They takes some intervals in the Ω (we will look on it like they mark nodes of infinite binary tree).

Amplification of difference between weak and strong α -measures

Theorem

If $\alpha < 1$ then $\exists X \subset \Omega : \mu_W^\alpha(X) = 0, \mu_S^\alpha(X) = 1$.

Game description: There are two players: Alice and Bob. They takes some intervals in the Ω (we will look on it like they mark nodes of infinite binary tree).

- ▶ Alice and Bob select some intervals;

Amplification of difference between weak and strong α -measures

Theorem

If $\alpha < 1$ then $\exists X \subset \Omega : \mu_W^\alpha(X) = 0, \mu_S^\alpha(X) = 1$.

Game description: There are two players: Alice and Bob. They takes some intervals in the Ω (we will look on it like they mark nodes of infinite binary tree).

- ▶ Alice and Bob select some intervals;
- ▶ Bob's intervals must cover Alice intervals after every his turn;

Amplification of difference between weak and strong α -measures

Theorem

If $\alpha < 1$ then $\exists X \subset \Omega : \mu_W^\alpha(X) = 0, \mu_S^\alpha(X) = 1$.

Game description: There are two players: Alice and Bob. They takes some intervals in the Ω (we will look on it like they mark nodes of infinite binary tree).

- ▶ Alice and Bob select some intervals;
- ▶ Bob's intervals must cover Alice intervals after every his turn;
- ▶ Weak α -size of Alice's intervals should be less than ε ;

Amplification of difference between weak and strong α -measures

Theorem

If $\alpha < 1$ then $\exists X \subset \Omega : \mu_W^\alpha(X) = 0, \mu_S^\alpha(X) = 1$.

Game description: There are two players: Alice and Bob. They takes some intervals in the Ω (we will look on it like they mark nodes of infinite binary tree).

- ▶ Alice and Bob select some intervals;
- ▶ Bob's intervals must cover Alice intervals after every his turn;
- ▶ Weak α -size of Alice's intervals should be less than ε ;
- ▶ If at some point in the game strong α -size of Bob's intervals is ≥ 1 , Bob loses. Otherwise (strong α -size is always less than 1) Bob wins.

Amplification of difference between weak and strong α -measures

Theorem

If $\alpha < 1$ then $\exists X \subset \Omega : \mu_W^\alpha(X) = 0, \mu_S^\alpha(X) = 1$.

Game description: There are two players: Alice and Bob. They takes some intervals in the Ω (we will look on it like they mark nodes of infinite binary tree).

- ▶ Alice and Bob select some intervals;
- ▶ Bob's intervals must cover Alice intervals after every his turn;
- ▶ Weak α -size of Alice's intervals should be less than ε ;
- ▶ If at some point in the game strong α -size of Bob's intervals is ≥ 1 , Bob loses. Otherwise (strong α -size is always less than 1) Bob wins.
- ▶ In this game Alice can always win.

Thank you!

Thank you for your attention!

Ways to define weak size

We have a family of intervals $\{I_k\}$, and want to define « α -size of union»:

- ▶ Sum of α -sizes of intervals determ (strong α -size).

Ways to define weak size

We have a family of intervals $\{I_k\}$, and want to define « α -size of union»:

- ▶ Sum of α -sizes of intervals determ (strong α -size).
- ▶ Sum of α -sizes of maximum intervals (this and all next define weak α -size).

Ways to define weak size

We have a family of intervals $\{I_k\}$, and want to define « α -size of union»:

- ▶ Sum of α -sizes of intervals determ (strong α -size).
- ▶ Sum of α -sizes of maximum intervals (this and all next define weak α -size).
- ▶ Sum of α -sizes of families of disjoint intervals (supremum over all disjoint subfamilies).

Ways to define weak size

We have a family of intervals $\{I_k\}$, and want to define « α -size of union»:

- ▶ Sum of α -sizes of intervals determ (strong α -size).
- ▶ Sum of α -sizes of maximum intervals (this and all next define weak α -size).
- ▶ Sum of α -sizes of families of disjoint intervals (supremum over all disjoint subfamilies).
- ▶ Infimum of sum fo α -sizes of other families intervals, covering (the union of) our family.

Ways to define weak size

We have a family of intervals $\{I_k\}$, and want to define « α -size of union»:

- ▶ Sum of α -sizes of intervals determ (strong α -size).
- ▶ Sum of α -sizes of maximum intervals (this and all next define weak α -size).
- ▶ Sum of α -sizes of families of disjoint intervals (supremum over all disjoint subfamilies).
- ▶ Infimum of sum fo α -sizes of other families intervals, covering (the union of) our family.
- ▶ Max measure $\mu(\cup I_k)$, for all μ in some class (class of α -capacitable measures: measures such that for all intervals size determined by this measure is less then its α -size).