

# Polynomial threshold functions and Boolean threshold circuits

Kristoffer Arnsfelt Hansen<sup>1</sup>   Vladimir V. Podolskii<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Aarhus University

<sup>2</sup>Steklov Mathematical Institute

MFCS 2013

# Boolean Threshold Functions

Boolean function  $f: \{a, b\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ .

*Polynomial threshold gate* computing  $f$  is a polynomial  $p \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  such that for all  $x \in \{a, b\}^n$  we have

$$f(x) = \text{sign } p(x).$$

Complexity measures:

*The degree* of  $p$  is the degree of the polynomial.

*The length* of  $p$  is the number of its monomials.

## Example

$$\{a, b\} = \{1, 2\}.$$

$$p(x, y) = 16 - 15xy + 3x^2y^2.$$

## Example

$$\{a, b\} = \{1, 2\}.$$

$$p(x, y) = 16 - 15xy + 3x^2y^2.$$

$$x = y = 1$$

$$16 - 15 + 3 > 0$$

$$x = 2, y = 1$$

$$16 - 30 + 12 < 0$$

$$x = y = 2$$

$$16 - 60 + 48 > 0$$

## Example

$$\{a, b\} = \{1, 2\}.$$

$$p(x, y) = 16 - 15xy + 3x^2y^2.$$

$$x = y = 1$$

$$16 - 15 + 3 > 0$$

$$x = 2, y = 1$$

$$16 - 30 + 12 < 0$$

$$x = y = 2$$

$$16 - 60 + 48 > 0$$

$p(x, y)$  computes PARITY function:

$p(x, y) > 0$  iff  $x + y$  is odd.

## The domain

The most studied cases are  $\{a, b\} = \{0, 1\}$  and  $\{a, b\} = \{-1, 1\}$ .

In these cases we can assume that  $\deg p \leq n$ .

Indeed,  $x^2 = x$ , if  $x \in \{0, 1\}$  and  
 $x^2 = 1$ , if  $x \in \{-1, 1\}$ .

## The domain

The most studied cases are  $\{a, b\} = \{0, 1\}$  and  $\{a, b\} = \{-1, 1\}$ .

In these cases we can assume that  $\deg p \leq n$ .

Indeed,  $x^2 = x$ , if  $x \in \{0, 1\}$  and  
 $x^2 = 1$ , if  $x \in \{-1, 1\}$ .

For general  $\{a, b\}$  this is not the case, in principle degree greater than  $n$  can help to reduce the length.

## Degree vs. length

Indeed, large degree can help.

Theorem (Basu et. al, 2004)

PARITY over  $\{1, 2\}$  requires length  $2^n$  when the degree is bounded by  $n$ , but is computable by degree  $n^2$  and length  $n + 1$  threshold gate.

Our example:

$$p(x, y) = 16 - 15xy + 3x^2y^2$$

$n = 2$ , length is  $n + 1 = 3$  degree is  $n^2 = 4$ .



# The PTF complexity class

Given  $l(n)$  and  $d(n)$ , we denote by

$$\text{PTF}_{a,b}(l(n), d(n))$$

the class of Boolean functions over  $\{a, b\}^n$  computable by polynomial threshold functions of length  $l(n)$  and degree  $d(n)$ .

$\text{PTF}_{a,b}(l(n), \infty)$  — no bound on the degree.

$\text{PTF}_{a,b}(d(n)) = \text{PTF}_{a,b}(\text{poly}(n), d(n))$ .

# The PTF complexity class

Given  $l(n)$  and  $d(n)$ , we denote by

$$\text{PTF}_{a,b}(l(n), d(n))$$

the class of Boolean functions over  $\{a, b\}^n$  computable by polynomial threshold functions of length  $l(n)$  and degree  $d(n)$ .

$\text{PTF}_{a,b}(l(n), \infty)$  — no bound on the degree.

$$\text{PTF}_{a,b}(d(n)) = \text{PTF}_{a,b}(\text{poly}(n), d(n)).$$

Below we concentrate on  $\{1, 2\}$ -domain. Our results also hold for all  $\{a, b\}$ -domains, which are essentially different from  $\{0, 1\}$  and  $\{-1, 1\}$ .

## Circuit Classes Notation

We consider classes AND, OR, XOR,  $AC^0$ .

THR:  $f(x) = \text{sign}(\sum_i w_i x_i + w_0)$ .

MAJ:  $f(x) = \text{sign}(\sum_i w_i x_i + w_0)$ , where all  $w_i$  are integers bounded by polynomial in  $n$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2$  be two classes of Boolean circuits.

By  $\mathcal{C}_1 \circ \mathcal{C}_2$  we denote the class of polynomial size circuits consisting of circuit from  $\mathcal{C}_1$  with circuits from  $\mathcal{C}_2$  as inputs.

## Exponential form of PTFs

For a variable  $y \in \{1, 2\}$  consider  $x = \log_2 y \in \{0, 1\}$ .

Then  $y = 2^x$ .

For monomials we have

$$y_1^{a_1} \cdots y_n^{a_n} = 2^{a_1 x_1 + \cdots + a_n x_n}$$

and for polynomials

$$P(y) = \sum_{j=1}^l c_j \prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{a_{ij}} = \sum_{j=1}^l c_j 2^{\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} x_i} = \sum_{j=1}^l 2^{\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} x_i + \log_2 c_j}$$

## Initial results

### Lemma

$\text{PTF}_{1,2}(2, \infty) = \text{THR}$  and  $\text{PTF}_{1,2}(2, \text{poly}(n)) = \text{MAJ}$ .

## Initial results

### Lemma

$\text{PTF}_{1,2}(2, \infty) = \text{THR}$  and  $\text{PTF}_{1,2}(2, \text{poly}(n)) = \text{MAJ}$ .

### Proof.

Consider THR gate:  $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i - w_0 \geq 0$ .

Raise each side to the power of 2.

In the other direction, consider

$$c_1 2^{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i} + c_2 2^{\sum_{i=1}^n b_i x_i} \geq 0.$$

Interesting case:  $\text{sign } c_1 \neq \text{sign } c_2$ .

Move one summand to the other side and take a logarithm.



# Bounded degree PTFs

## Theorem

$$\text{PTF}_{1,2}(\text{poly}(n)) = \text{THR} \circ \text{MAJ}$$

# Bounded degree PTFs

## Theorem

$$\text{PTF}_{1,2}(\text{poly}(n)) = \text{THR} \circ \text{MAJ}$$

Note that

$$\text{PTF}_{0,1}(\text{poly}(n)) = \text{THR} \circ \text{AND}$$

and

$$\text{PTF}_{-1,1}(\text{poly}(n)) = \text{THR} \circ \text{XOR}.$$

Thus, threshold gates over  $\{1, 2\}$  are strictly stronger.



## Depth 2 Threshold Circuits

THR  $\circ$  THR

| ?

THR  $\circ$  MAJ

| Goldman et al., 92

MAJ  $\circ$  MAJ

Theorem (Goldman, Håstad, Razborov, 92)

MAJ  $\circ$  THR = MAJ  $\circ$  MAJ.

# Bounded degree PTFs

## Theorem (restated)

$$\text{PTF}_{1,2}(\text{poly}(n)) = \text{THR} \circ \text{MAJ}$$

Main observation: linear form in each MAJ gate can obtain only polynomially many values.

We can precisely compute each MAJ gate by polynomial length  $\{1, 2\}$ -polynomial.

# Byproduct

## Lemma

*Any polynomial size circuit in  $\text{THR} \circ \text{MAJ}$  is equivalent to a polynomial size circuit of the same form such that all majority gates on the bottom level are monotone.*

The same is true for  $\text{MAJ} \circ \text{MAJ}$ .

## Lower bounds

Let  $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^n$ .

Inner product function:

$$IP(x, y) = \bigoplus_i x_i \wedge y_i.$$

## Lower bounds

Let  $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^n$ .

Inner product function:

$$IP(x, y) = \bigoplus_i x_i \wedge y_i.$$

Theorem (restated)

$$PTF_{1,2}(poly(n)) = THR \circ MAJ$$

Corollary

$IP \notin PTF_{1,2}(poly(n))$ ,  $AND \circ OR \circ AND_2 \notin PTF_{1,2}(poly(n))$ .

## Lower bounds

Let  $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^n$ .

Inner product function:

$$IP(x, y) = \bigoplus_i x_i \wedge y_i.$$

Theorem (restated)

$$PTF_{1,2}(poly(n)) = THR \circ MAJ$$

Corollary

$IP \notin PTF_{1,2}(poly(n))$ ,  $AND \circ OR \circ AND_2 \notin PTF_{1,2}(poly(n))$ .

What about  $PTF_{1,2}(\infty)$ ?

## Sign rank

Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be a real matrix with nonzero elements.

Sign rank of  $A$  is the minimal rank of the real matrix  $B = (b_{ij})$  such that  $\text{sign } b_{ij} = \text{sign } a_{ij}$  for all  $i, j$ .

For the Boolean function  $f(x, y)$  consider the matrix  $M_f = (f(x, y))_{x, y}$  of size  $2^n \times 2^n$ . The sign rank of  $f(x, y)$  is the sign rank of  $M_f$ .

### Theorem (Forster, 2002)

*The sign rank of  $\text{IP}(x, y)$  is  $2^{\Omega(n)}$ .*

### Theorem (Razborov, Sherstov, 2010)

*The sign rank of  $\text{AND} \circ \text{OR} \circ \text{AND}_2$  is  $2^{\Omega(n^{1/3})}$ .*

From this:  $\text{IP}$  and  $\text{AND} \circ \text{OR} \circ \text{AND}_2$  require exponential size  $\text{THR} \circ \text{MAJ}$  circuits.

Why:  $\text{MAJ}$  gates compute low rank matrices. Rank is subadditive.

## Lower bounds for $\text{PTF}_{1,2}(\infty)$

### Lemma

Assume  $f : \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  is computed by a PTF of length  $s$  on the domain  $\{1, 2\}^n \times \{1, 2\}^n$ . Then the matrix  $M_f$  has sign rank at most  $s$ .

### Proof.

Consider one monomial

$$\prod_i x_i^{a_i} y_i^{b_i} = \left( \prod_i x_i^{a_i} \right) \cdot \left( \prod_i y_i^{b_i} \right).$$

It defines rank 1 matrix. □



## Lower bounds for $\text{PTF}_{1,2}(\infty)$

### Lemma

Assume  $f : \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  is computed by a PTF of length  $s$  on the domain  $\{1, 2\}^n \times \{1, 2\}^n$ . Then the matrix  $M_f$  has sign rank at most  $s$ .

### Proof.

Consider one monomial

$$\prod_i x_i^{a_i} y_i^{b_i} = \left( \prod_i x_i^{a_i} \right) \cdot \left( \prod_i y_i^{b_i} \right).$$

It defines rank 1 matrix. □

### Corollary

Any PTF on the domain  $\{1, 2\}^n \times \{1, 2\}^n$  computing  $\text{IP}_2$  requires length  $2^{\Omega(n)}$ . Any PTF on the domain  $\{1, 2\}^n \times \{1, 2\}^n$  computing  $\text{AND} \circ \text{OR} \circ \text{AND}_2$  requires length  $2^{\Omega(n^{1/3})}$ .

## Bounded Weight vs. Unbounded Weight

Is it true that  $\text{PTF}_{1,2}(\text{poly}(n)) = \text{PTF}_{1,2}(\infty)$ ?

## Bounded Weight vs. Unbounded Weight

Is it true that  $\text{PTF}_{1,2}(\text{poly}(n)) = \text{PTF}_{1,2}(\infty)$ ?

Open problem!

# Bounded Weight vs. Unbounded Weight

Is it true that  $\text{PTF}_{1,2}(\text{poly}(n)) = \text{PTF}_{1,2}(\infty)$ ?

Open problem!

Theorem

If  $\text{THR} \circ \text{THR} \not\subseteq \text{THR} \circ \text{MAJ} \circ \text{AND}_2$  then  
 $\text{PTF}_{1,2}(\infty) \not\subseteq \text{PTF}_{1,2}(\text{poly}(n))$ .

# Bounded Weight vs. Unbounded Weight

Is it true that  $\text{PTF}_{1,2}(\text{poly}(n)) = \text{PTF}_{1,2}(\infty)$ ?

Open problem!

Theorem

If  $\text{THR} \circ \text{THR} \not\subseteq \text{THR} \circ \text{MAJ} \circ \text{AND}_2$  then  
 $\text{PTF}_{1,2}(\infty) \not\subseteq \text{PTF}_{1,2}(\text{poly}(n))$ .

To prove this we need the following lemma.

Lemma

$\text{THR} \circ \text{THR} \subseteq \text{PTF}_{1,2}(\infty) \circ \text{AND}_2$ .

## Proof of the lemma

Lemma (restated)

$\text{THR} \circ \text{THR} \subseteq \text{PTF}_{1,2}(\infty) \circ \text{AND}_2$ .

## Proof of the lemma

Lemma (restated)

$\text{THR} \circ \text{THR} \subseteq \text{PTF}_{1,2}(\infty) \circ \text{AND}_2$ .

Proof of the lemma.

Definition. ETHR:  $f(x) = 1$  iff  $\sum_i w_i x_i + w_0 = 0$ .

It is known that  $\text{THR} \circ \text{THR} = \text{THR} \circ \text{ETHR}$  (Hansen, P., 2010).

## Proof of the lemma

### Lemma (restated)

$\text{THR} \circ \text{THR} \subseteq \text{PTF}_{1,2}(\infty) \circ \text{AND}_2$ .

### Proof of the lemma.

Definition. ETHR:  $f(x) = 1$  iff  $\sum_i w_i x_i + w_0 = 0$ .

It is known that  $\text{THR} \circ \text{THR} = \text{THR} \circ \text{ETHR}$  (Hansen, P., 2010).

Note that ETHR-gate defined by  $L(x) = 0$  can be approximated by  $2^{-c \cdot L(x)^2}$ , where  $c$  is positive constant.

Thus we can rewrite  $\text{THR} \circ \text{ETHR}$  in the form

$$\text{sign} \left( \sum_i 2^{-c \cdot L_i(x)^2} \right),$$

where  $L_i(x)$  are linear forms.

Opening the brackets in the exponent we get the circuit of the form  $\text{PTF}_{1,2}(\infty) \circ \text{AND}_2$ .



# Bounded Weight vs. Unbounded Weight

## Theorem (restated)

If  $\text{THR} \circ \text{THR} \not\subseteq \text{THR} \circ \text{MAJ} \circ \text{AND}_2$  then  
 $\text{PTF}_{1,2}(\infty) \not\subseteq \text{PTF}_{1,2}(\text{poly}(n))$ .

## Proof.

Assume  $\text{PTF}_{1,2}(\text{poly}(n)) = \text{PTF}_{1,2}(\infty)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\text{THR} \circ \text{THR} &\subseteq \text{PTF}_{1,2}(\infty) \circ \text{AND}_2 = \\ &\text{PTF}_{1,2}(\text{poly}(n)) \circ \text{AND}_2 = \text{THR} \circ \text{MAJ} \circ \text{AND}_2,\end{aligned}$$

□

Note that  $\text{THR} \circ \text{THR} \subseteq \text{THR} \circ \text{MAJ} \circ \text{AND}_2$  implies  
 $\text{THR} \circ \text{THR} \circ \text{AND} = \text{THR} \circ \text{MAJ} \circ \text{AND}$ .

## Relations to Communication Complexity

$f: \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ .

There are players Alice and Bob.

Alice gets  $x$ , Bob gets  $y$ .

They have to compute  $f(x, y)$ .

Communication complexity of  $f$  is the worst case bit size of their communication.

Unbounded error randomized communication complexity:

Each of Alice and Bob has an access to the source of random bits (separately). They have to output  $f(x, y)$  correctly with probability  $> 1/2$ . For this version of complexity we use the notation  $UCC(f)$ .

**Theorem (Paturi, Simon, 1986)**

*For any  $f$   $UCC(f)$  is equal to the logarithm of the sign rank of  $f$  up to an additive constant.*

## Three players, Number on the Forehead

Suppose now there are 3 players A, B and C and  $f$  depends on variables  $x, y, z \in \{0, 1\}^n$ .

A has access to  $y, z$ , B has access to  $x, z$ , C has access to  $y, z$ .  
We can consider unbounded error case in this setting too.

We denote it by  $UCC_3(f)$ .

# Tensor rank

Let  $A = (a_{ijk})$  be an order 3 tensor,  $i, j, k = 1, \dots, n$ .

$A$  is a *cylinder tensor* if it does not depend on one of the coordinates.

$A$  is a *cylinder product* if it can be written as a Hadamard product  $A_1 \odot A_2 \odot A_3$  where  $A_1, A_2$ , and  $A_3$  are cylinder tensors. That is,  $a_{ijk} = a_{jk}^{(1)} a_{ik}^{(2)} a_{ij}^{(3)}$ .

The *sign complexity* of an order 3 tensor  $A = (a_{ijk})$  is the minimum  $r$  such that there exist cylinder product tensors  $B_1, \dots, B_r$ , with  $B_\ell = (b_{ijk}^{(\ell)})$ , such that  $\text{sign}(a_{ijk}) = \text{sign}\left(b_{ijk}^{(1)} + \dots + b_{ijk}^{(r)}\right)$ , for all  $i, j, k$ .

Note that we have a nonstandard notion of rank!

# Tensor rank and Communication Complexity

## Lemma

Consider  $f : \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  and let  $s$  be the uniform sign complexity of the associated communication tensor  $T_f$ . Then

$$UCC_3(f) = \Theta(\log_2 s).$$

### Lemma (restated)

*Assume  $f : \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  is computed by a PTF of length  $s$  on the domain  $\{1, 2\}^n \times \{1, 2\}^n$ . Then the matrix  $M_f$  has sign rank at most  $s$ .*

Thus,  $f$  above has communication complexity  $\Omega(s)$ .

### Lemma (restated)

*Assume  $f : \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  is computed by a PTF of length  $s$  on the domain  $\{1, 2\}^n \times \{1, 2\}^n$ . Then the matrix  $M_f$  has sign rank at most  $s$ .*

Thus,  $f$  above has communication complexity  $\Omega(s)$ .

### Lemma

*Assume that  $f : \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  is computed by a  $\text{PTF}_{1,2}(\infty) \circ \text{AND}_2$ . Then the sign complexity of  $T_f$  is polynomial in  $n$ .*

The proof is analogous:  $2^{P(x,y,z)} = 2^{P_1(x,y)} 2^{P_2(x,z)} 2^{P_3(y,z)}$ .

## Lemma (restated)

$\text{THR} \circ \text{THR} \subseteq \text{PTF}_{1,2}(\infty) \circ \text{AND}_2$ .

## Corollary

*Assume that  $f : \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  has unbounded error 3-player communication complexity  $c$ . Then every  $\text{THR} \circ \text{THR}$  computing  $f$  must contain  $2^c / \text{poly}(n)$  gates.*

We do not know functions with large unbounded error 3-player communication complexity.



## Relations between the domains

Our results works for all domains  $\{a, b\}$  such that  $a, b \neq 0$  and  $|a| \neq |b|$ .

But what is the relation of classes for different domains? Is it true that

$$\text{PTF}_{1,2}(\infty) = \text{PTF}_{1,3}(\infty)?$$

## Relations between the domains

Our results works for all domains  $\{a, b\}$  such that  $a, b \neq 0$  and  $|a| \neq |b|$ .

But what is the relation of classes for different domains? Is it true that

$$\text{PTF}_{1,2}(\infty) = \text{PTF}_{1,3}(\infty)?$$

Open problem!

## Relations between the domains

Our results works for all domains  $\{a, b\}$  such that  $a, b \neq 0$  and  $|a| \neq |b|$ .

But what is the relation of classes for different domains? Is it true that

$$\text{PTF}_{1,2}(\infty) = \text{PTF}_{1,3}(\infty)?$$

Open problem!

But we know that  $\text{PTF}_{1,2}(\infty) = \text{PTF}_{1,-2}(\infty)$ .

More generally,

**Lemma**

*For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and for any natural number  $k$  we have*

$$\text{PTF}_{a,b}(\infty) = \text{PTF}_{a^k,b^k}(\infty).$$

$$\text{PTF}_{1,2}(\infty) = \text{PTF}_{1,4}(\infty) = \text{PTF}_{1,-2}(\infty).$$

## Other results

We also consider max-plus version of PTFs:

- ▶ they are somewhere between  $\text{AND} \circ \text{THR}$  and  $\text{AND} \circ \text{OR} \circ \text{THR}$ ;
- ▶ we know lower bounds for them (through usual PTFs);
- ▶ the class is still strong (can compute various “complex” functions).

Other partial results:

- ▶ Exponential degree implies doubly exponential weight and vice versa;
- ▶ Exponential degree upper bound for length 3 PTFs;
- ▶ Exponential degree lower bound for constant length PTFs.